SERVICE PERFORMANCE REPORT

BPS 1 to 6

SBK WOMEN'S UNIVERSITY, QUETTA

	Section / Department				
	Overall Performance Report for the year				
Name	>				
Fathe	r's / Husband's Name				
Desig	nation				
Date	of Joining				
	ches in which employed g the service, with period				
Total	Service Length				
Date	of Birth				
Acad	emic Record				
(Last	Degree/Certificate/Diploma)				
Obser	rvation on				
(i)	Intelligence				
(ii)	Knowledge of procedure and regulations				
(iii)	Punctuality				
(iv)	Co-operation with Staff				
(v)	Amenability of discipline				
(vi)	Promptness and accuracy in disposing of work				
Any t	endency against the tenets of Islam				

11.	Any outstanding featur	re in the conduct or character indicating Islami	c way of
	life. (Only for Muslims)_		
12.	Integrity		
(i) Incorruptible		
(ii) Reported to be corrup	pt	
(iii) Believed to be corrup	pt because of	
	(a) Monetary	consideration	
	(b) Other cons	sideration(specify)	
Know ho	ow of additional work (I	For Naib Qasid only)	
1.	Observance of office p	procedure: (Office Filing, Computer Skills etc)	
Fi	itness for promotion/Up		
	Fit for promotion /Up g		
	Not fit yet for promotion course of time	on/Up gradation, but likely to become fit in	
		otion/Up gradation has reached his ceiling	
General I		outling of graduation has reached his coming	
General	Cemarks		
Date:			
		Reporting Officer's Signature & Rubber S Name (in block letters)	tamp
		Designation	

REMARKS OF THE FIRST COUNTERSIGNING OFFICER

I consider that the assessment made by the Reporting Officer is very good/ reasonably good / strict / lenient / biased					
The remarks underlined in re-	The remarks underlined in red ink should communicated in writing				
I have the following remarks	to add:-				
Date:	Counter signing Officer's Signature & Rubber Stamp Name (in block letters)				
	Designation				
REMARKS OF THI	E SUBSEQUENT COUNTERSIGNING OFFICER				
I consider that the assessment made by the Reporting Officer / 1^{st} Counter Signing Officer is very Good/ reasonably Good / Constrictive / lenient / biased					
The remarks underlined in red ink should communicated in writing					
I have the following remarks to add:-					
Date:	Counter signing Officer's Signature & Rubber Stamp Name (in block letters)				
Designation					

ANNUAL PERFORMANCE EVALUATION REPORT

FORM "A" ASSISTANTS AND CLERKS

SBK WOMEN'S UNIVERSITY, QUETTA

	Section / Department		
		Evaluation Report For the year	
1.	Name	·	
2.	Father	r's / Husband's Name	
3.	Desig	nation	
4.		hes in which employed g the year, with period	
5.	Date of	of Birth	
6.	Obser	vance of office procedure, e.g	
	(i)	Referencing and paging of	
		Note and correspondence	
	(ii)	Keeping files and papers in	
		Tidy condition	
	(iii)	Promptness and accuracy	
		in disposing of work	
7.	Obser (i)	vation on Intelligence	
	(ii)	Knowledge of procedure and regulations	
	(iii)	Punctuality	
	(iv)	Co-operation with Staff	
	(v)	Amenability of discipline	
	(vi)	Skill in drafting	

8.	Any tendency against the tenets of Islam
9.	Any outstanding feature in the conduct or character indicating Islamic way of life. (Only for Muslims)
10.	Integrity
	(i) Incorruptible
	(ii) Reported to be corrupt
	(iii) Believed to be corrupt because of
	(a) Monetary consideration
	(b) Other consideration
11.	Knowledge of typing / Computer skill
12.	Fitness for promotion
	Recommended for accelerated Promotion
	Fit for promotion
	Recently promoted, assessment for further promotion premature
	Not fit yet for promotion, but likely to become fit in course of time
	Unfit for further promotion, has reached his ceiling
General	Remarks
Date: _	Reporting Officer's Signature & Rubber Stamp Name (in block letters)
	Designation

REMARKS OF THE FIRST COUNTERSIGNING OFFICER

I consider that the assessment made by the Reporting Officer is very good/ reasonably good / strict / lenient / biased					
The remarks underlined in re	d ink should communicated in writing				
I have the following remarks	to add:-				
Date:	Counter signing Officer's Signature & Rubber Stamp Name (in block letters)				
	Designation				
REMARKS OF THI	E SUBSEQUENT COUNTERSIGNING OFFICER				
I consider that the assessment made by the Reporting Officer / 1^{st} Counter Signing Officer is very Good/ reasonably Good / Constrictive / lenient / biased					
The remarks underlined in red ink should communicated in writing					
I have the following remarks to add:-					
Date:	Counter signing Officer's Signature & Rubber Stamp Name (in block letters)				
	Designation				

ANNUAL PERFORMANCE EVALUATION REPORT

FORM "B" STENOGRAPHERS / COMPUTER PERSONNEL

SBK WOMEN'S UNIVERSITY, QUETTA

	Section / Department
	Evaluation Report For the year
1.	Name
2.	Father's / Husband's Name
3.	Designation
4.	Date of Birth
5.	Standard of work
	i. Computer Skills:
	ii. Speed:
	iii. Accuracy
6.	Punctuality in attending office
7.	Amenability of discipline
8.	Any other duties performed

9. Integrity							
(i) Incorruptib	le						
(ii) Reported t	o be corrupt						
(iii) Believed to be corrupt because of							
(a)	Monetary consideration						
	Other consideration						
(0)	Other consideration						
10. Any tendency a	gainst the tenets of Islam						
•	g feature in the conduct or character indicating Islamic way of life.						
(Unly for Muslims)	'						
General Remarks							
Date:	Reporting Officer's Signature & Rubber Stamp Name (in block letters)						
	Designation						
REMARK	S OF THE FIRST COUNTERSIGNING OFFICER						
I consider that the assessment / lenient / biased	nent made by the Reporting Officer is very good/ reasonably good / strict						
The remarks underlined in	n red ink should communicated in writing						
I have the following rema	rks to add:-						
Date:	Counter signing Officer's Signature & Rubber Stamp Name (in block letters)						
	Designation						

REMARKS OF THE SUBSEQUENT COUNTERSIGNING OFFICER

I consider that the assessment made by the Reporting Officer / 1^{st} Counter Signing Officer is very Good/ reasonably Good / Constrictive / lenient / biased

Cood, reasonably Cood, Const	area of remember of a section of the
The remarks underlined in red i	ink should communicated in writing
I have the following remarks to	add:-
Date:	Counter signing Officer's Signature & Rubber Stamp Name (in block letters)
	Designation

FORM "C" FOR OFFICIALS IN BPS-16

SBK WOMEN'S UNIVERSITY, QUETTA

	Section / Department					
	Evaluation Report For the year					
		PART – I				
1.	Name					
2.	Father's / Husband's N	Name				
3.	Designation					
4.	Academic qualification	1				
5.	Date of Birth					
6.	Knowledge of languag	es				
7.	Special training					
8.	8. Computer Skill / Training					
	POS	T HELD DURING THE PEI	RIOD			
	Post	Period	Pay and Scale			

PART-II

The rating should be recorded by initialing the appropriate column or box. The rating denoted by the alphabets is as follows:-

	AI' Very good; 'A' Good;	B' Average; 'C' Below Average;		D' Poor;			
		AI	A	В	C	D	REMARKS
1	Intelligence and mental alertness						
2	Judgment and sense of proportion						
3	Initiative and drive						
4	Power of expression:-						
	(a) Writing						
	(b) Speech						
5	Ability to plan organize and supervise work						
6	Quality and output of work						
7	Perseverance and devotion to duty						
8	Capacity to guide and transbordinates						
9	Co-operation and tact						
10	Integrity						
	(a) General						
	(b) Moral						
11	Sense of responsibility:-						
	(a) General						
	(b) In financial matters						
12	Personality						
**	13						
**	14						
**	15						
**	16						
**	17						

18. Interest in Social Welfare.	Takes interest in social welfare activities.	Is inclined to treat this aspect of his duty as routine function	
19. Interest in economic development	Is interested in planning and execution of development Schemes	Is inclined to treat this aspect of his duty as routine function	
20. Behavior with public	Is modest and helpful	Is inclined to be arrogant	
21. Standard of living	Lives within known means of income.	Reported to be living beyond known means of income	
22. Observance of security measures	Takes reasonably good care	Inclined to be negligent	
23. Punctuality	Punctual	Unpunctual	
24. Touring	Adequate and Systematic	inadequate or unsystematic	

PART-III

Comparing him with other officers of the same grade, please give your general assessment of the officer by initialing in the appropriate column below:-

Very good	Good	Average	Below Average	Poor	Remarks on special aptitudes if any particularly at executive judicial development or diplomatic work.

FITNESS FOR PROMOTION

(Initial the appropriate box below)

Recommended for accelerated Promotion	
Fit for promotion	
Recently promoted, assessment for further promotion premature	
Not fit yet for promotion, but likely to become fit in course of time	
Unfit for further promotion, has reached his ceiling	

PEN PICTURE

Date:	Reporting Officer's Signature & Rubber Stamp Name (in block letters)				
	Designation				
REMARKS OF	PART – IV THE FIRST COUNTERSIGNING OFFICER				
I consider that the assessment m	nade by the Reporting Officer is very good/ reasonably good / strict				
The remarks underlined in red in	nk should communicated in writing				
I have the following remarks to	add:-				
Date:	Counter signing Officer's Signature & Rubber Stamp Name (in block letters)				
	Designation				
	PART –V				
REMARKS OF THI	E SUBSEQUENT COUNTERSIGNING OFFICER				
I consider that the assessment m Good/ reasonably Good / Const	nade by the Reporting Officer / 1 st Counter Signing Officer is very rictive / lenient / biased				
The remarks underlined in red in	nk should communicated in writing				
I have the following remarks to	add:-				
Date:	Counter signing Officer's Signature & Rubber Stamp Name (in block letters)				
	Designation				

CERTIFICATE

Certified that I	(Name of Of	fficer) Personnel	Number (if allotted)
	have	on	submitted by
(Group / Service)	(BPS)	(Date)	
Performance Evaluat	tion Report for th	ne period	
to			
(Nam	e / Designation	of Reporting Officer)	
My countersigning o			
	(Name	/ Designation of Cour	ntersigning Officer)
		Signatures	
	Desig	gnation / Department /	Section
	_	-	

Note: This certificate is required to be dispatched by the officer being reported upon to the Officer In charge entrusted with the maintenance of his/ her C.R. dossier on the same date the APER is forwarded to his/ her reporting officer.

SARDAR BAHADUR KHAN WOMEN'S UNIVERSITY, QUETTA

	Department / Sectio	n		
	ANNUAL PERFOI	RMANCE 1	EVALUAT	TON REPORT
FOR	THE PERIOD	, 20	TO	, 20
		PART	– I	
	(TO BE FILLED IN	BY THE OF	FICER REPO	RTED UPON)
1.	Name (in block letters)			
2.	Father's / Husband's Nam	ne		
3.	Date of Birth			
4.	Date of entry in service	2		
5.	Post held during the per	riod (with BPS	S)	
6.	Academic qualifications	;		
7.	Knowledge of language	s		

8.	Training	received	during	the	evaluation	period
0.	1141111115	10001104	auring	uic	Cialantion	periou

Name of Course Attended	Duration with Dates	Name of Institution and country

9.	Period served		
(i) Ir	n nrecent noct	(ii) Under the reporting officer	

PART II

(TO BE FILLED IN BY THE OFFICER REPORTED UPON)

1. Job description

	2.		. Targets give	en and	actual	perform	nance a	d by statistical data gainst such targets o be stated.
		·	ATION BY T		EPORT			
	The rating in Part III should be recorded by initiating the appropriate box. The ratings denoted by alphabets are as follows: "A" Very Good "B" Good "C" Average "D" Below Average							
	unifo h qual	rm interpretation	on of qualities	s, two ex	atreme :	shades	are me	ntioned against
				A	В	С	D	
1	Intel	ligence						
	-	ptionally bright, orehension	excellent					Dull; slow

		A	В	С	D	
2	Confidence and will power					
	Exceptionally confident and resolute					Uncertain;
3	Assentance of Degrangibility					hesitant
3	Acceptance of Responsibility					
	Always prepared to take on responsibility even in difficult cases					Reluctant to take on responsibility will avoid it when ever possible
4	Reliability under pressure					
	Clam and exceptionally reliable at all times					Confused and easily flustered even under normal pressure
5	Financial Responsibility					
	Exercises due care and discipline					Irresponsible
6	Relation with					
	(i) Superiors					
	Cooperative and trusted					Un-cooperative
	(ii) Colleagues					
	Works well in a team					Difficult Colleague
	(iii) Subordinates					
	Courteous and effective ; encouraging					Discourteous and intolerant
7	Behavior with public					
	Courteous and helpful					Arrogant , Discourteous and indifferent

		A	В	С	D	
8	Ability to decide routine matters					
	Logical and decisive					Indecisive
						vacillating
9	Knowledge of relevant laws,					
	rules regulations, instructions					
	and procedures					
	Exceptionally well informed, keeps					Ignorant and
	abreast of latest developments					uninformed

PART IV

(REPORTING OFFICER'S EVALUATION)

1. Please comment on the officer's performance on the job as given in Part II (2) with special reference to knowledge of works quality and quantity of output. How far was the officer able to achieve targets? Do you agree with what has been stated in Part II (2)?

2.	Integrity (Morality, uprightness and Honesty)
3.	Pen picture with focus on the officer's strengths and weaknesses not covered in Part III (Weakness will not be considered as adverse entry unless intended to be treated as adverse)
4.	Special Aptitude
5.	Recommendations for future training

6.	Overall	grading
		0

		Reporting officer	Countersigning officer
(i)	Very good		
(ii)	Good		
(iii)	Average		
(iv)	Below Average		

7. Fitness for promotion

		Reporting officer	Countersigning officer
(i)	Fit for promotion		
(ii)	Recently promoted/ appointed Assessment premature		
(iii)	Not yet fit for promotion		
(iv)	Unlikely to progress further		

Name of the reporting officer	Signature	
(Capital letters)		
Designation	Date	

$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{PART} - \textbf{V} \\ \text{(REMARKS OF THE COUNTERSIGNING OFFICER)} \end{array}$

re	ow well do you porting officer	, please give	reasons			
. Ev	valuation of the	quality of ass	essment ma	de by the rep	porting offic	eer
. Ev		quality of ass		de by the rep		eer
. Ev	valuation of the Exaggerated	quality of ass	essment ma Fair	de by the rep	porting offic Biased	eer
. Ev		quality of ass		de by the rep		eer
. Ev		quality of ass		de by the rep		eer
. Ev		quality of ass		de by the rep		eer
. Ev		quality of ass		de by the rep		eer
			Fair		Biased	
e of t	Exaggerated the countersigni	ng officer	Fair	S	Biased	
e of t	Exaggerated	ng officer	Fair	S	Biased	

PART- VI

(REMARKS OF THE SECOND COUNTERSIGNING OFFICER (IF ANY)

Name	Signature
Designation	Date

GUIDELINES FOR FILLING UP THE APER

- After initiation of their APER, the officers under report should immediately fill up the detachable certificate'
 giving names of the RO/CO and forward the same to the officer In charge of their respective confidential
 records. This exercise will ensure proper follow-up of the pending performance evaluation reports by the
 concerned Provincial Government etc.
- Forms should be filled in duplicate. Parts I and II are to be filled by the officer under report and should be typed. Part III will be filled by the Reporting Officer while the Countersigning/Second Countersigning Officers will fill parts IV and V respectively.
- Each Department, autonomous body and office etc. is required to prepare specific job descriptions giving main duties of each job to be mentioned in Part 11(1). The job descriptions may be finalized with the approval of the Head of the Organization or any person authorized by him.
- The officer under report should fill Part II (2) of the form as objectively as possible and short term and long
 term targets should be determined / assigned with utmost care. The targets for each job may be formulated at
 the beginning of the year wherever possible. In other cases, the work performed during the year needs to be
 specifically mentioned.
- Assessment by the Reporting Officers should be job-specific and confined to the work done by the officer
 during the period under report. They should avoid giving a biased or evasive assessment of the officer under
 report. as the Countersigning Officers would be required to comment on the quality of the assessment made by
 them.
- The Reporting Officers should carryout their assessment in Part III through comments against each
 characteristic. Their opinions should represent the result of careful consideration and objective assessment so
 that, if called upon, they could justify the remarks / comments. They may maintain a record of the work done
 by the subordinates in this regard.
- They Reporting Officers should be careful in giving the overall and comparative grading. Special care should be taken so that no officer is placed at an undue disadvantage.
- The Countersigning Officers should weigh the remarks of the RO against their personal knowledge of the officer under report, compare him with other officers of the same grade working under different Reporting Officers, but under the same Countersigning Officer, and then give their overall assessment of the officer. In case of disagreement with the assessment done by the Reporting Officer, specific reasons should be recorded by the Countersigning Officers in Part IV (2).
- The Countersigning Officers should make an unbiased evaluation of the quality of performance evaluation
 made by the RO by categorizing the reports as exaggerated, fair or biased. This would evoke a greater sense of
 responsibility from the reporting officers.
- The Countersigning Officer should underline, in red ink, remarks which in their opinion are adverse and should be communicated to the officer reported upon. All adverse remarks whether remediable or irremediable should be communicated to the officer under report, with a copy of communication placed in the CR dossier.
 Reporting Officers should ensure that they properly counsel the officer under report before adverse remarks are recorded.
- The Reporting and Countersigning Officers should be clear, direct objective and unambiguous in their remarks. Vague impressions based on inadequate knowledge or isolated incidents should be avoided.
- Reports should he consistent with the pen picture, overall grading and comparative grading.

IMPORTANT

- Parts I and II of the APER should be duly filled and dispatched to the Reporting Officer not later than the 15th of January. The ROs should forward the report to the Countersigning Officer within two weeks of receipt after giving their views in Part III. The COs should then finalize their comments in Part IV within two weeks of receipt of APER. The Second Countersigning Officers, if any should also complete their assessment within a period of two weeks.
- Name and designation of Reporting/Countersigning Officers should be clearly written. Comments should be legible and in the prescribed format and which can be easily scanned.
- Personnel Number is to be filled in by the officer under report, if allotted.
- Comparative grading only applies to officers falling in very good, good and average categories. This grading would not apply to anyone falling in below average category in Part III (6).

CERTIFICATE

Certified that I	(Name of	Officer)	Personnel Number	r (if allotted)
	ha	ive on		_ submitted by
(Group / Service)	(BPS)	(Date)	
Performance Evaluat	tion Report fo	or the period	l	
to				
(Nam	ie / Designati	on of Repo	rting Officer)	
My countersigning o				
	(Na	me / Desigr	nation of Countersign	ing Officer)
		S	Signatures	
	Г	Designation /	Department / Section	
		C	•	

Note: This certificate is required to be dispatched by the officer being reported upon to the Officer In charge entrusted with the maintenance of his/ her C.R. dossier on the same date the APER is forwarded to his/ her reporting officer.

SARDAR BAHADUR KHAN WOMEN'S UNIVERSITY, QUETTA

	Department / Secti	ion		
	ANNUAL PERFO	RMANCE	EVALUAT	TION REPORT
FOR	THE PERIOD	, 20	TO	, 20
		PART	Γ – I	
	(TO BE FILLED	IN BY THE O	FFICER REPO	RTED UPON)
1.	Name (in block letters)			
2.	Father's / Husband's Na	ıme		
3.	Date of Birth			
1.	Date of entry in servi	ce		
5.	Post held during the p	period (with B)	PS)	
5.	Academic qualification	ns		
7.	Knowledge of languag	res		

8.	Participation in conferences, seminars, meeting during the period reported upo						
	Title	Country	Duration				

9.	Period served		
(i) In r	present post	(ii) Under the repo	ting officer

PART II

(TO BE FILLED IN BY THE OFFICER REPORTED UPON)

1. Job description

2. Brief account of achievements during the period supported by statistical data where possible. Targets given and actual performance against such targets should be highlighted. Reasons for shortfall, if any, may also be stated.

PART III (REPORTING OFFICER'S EVALUATION)

1. Please comment on the officer's performance on the job as given in Part II (2) with special reference to his knowledge of work, ability to plan, organize and supervise, analytical skills, competence to take decisions and quality and quantity of output. How far was the officer able to achieve the targets? Comment on the officer's contribution, with the help of statistical data. If any, in the overall performance of the organization. Do you agree with what has been stated in Part II (2)?

2.	Integrity (Morality, uprightness and Honesty)
3.	Pen picture including the officer's strengths and weaknesses with focus on emotional stability, ability to work under pressure, communication skills and interpersonal effectiveness. (Weakness will not be considered as adverse entry unless intended to be treated as adverse)
4.	Area and level of professional experience with suggestions for further posting

5.	Trai	ining and develop	oment ne	eds			
6.	Ove	erall grading					
		Very Good		Good		Average	
7.	Fitn	ess for promotion	n		n the officer'ion and addit		
Name	of th	e reporting office	er		Sign	nature	
Design	atio	n			Date	e	

PART – IV

(REMARKS OF THE COUNTERSIGNING OFFICER)

1. How often have you seen the work of the officer reported upor	pon?	reported	the officer	of the	the work	you seen	have	How often	1.
--	------	----------	-------------	--------	----------	----------	------	-----------	----

1	ery Frequently	Fred	uently	Rarely	Never	
V	ery rrequently	17164	uchiny	Raiciy	INCVCI	

2. How well do you know the officer? If you disagree with the assessment of the reporting officer, please give reasons?

3. Overall grading

Very Good Good	Average	Below Average	
----------------	---------	---------------	--

4. Recommendation for promotion

(Comment on the officer's potential for holding a higher position and additional responsibilities)

5. Evaluation of the o	quality of a	ssessment m	ade by the re	porting offic	er
		T	1		
Exaggerated		Fair		Biased	
Name of the countersigning	ng officer _		S	Signature	
(Capital letters)					
Designation				e	
<u> </u>					
		PART-	\mathbf{V}		
(REMARKS OF TH	IE SECON	ND COUNT	ERSIGNING	G OFFICER	R (IF ANY)
Name			Sign	nature	
Designation			Date	e	

GUIDELINES FOR FILLING UP THE APER

- After initiation of their APER, the officers under report should immediately fill up the detachable certificate'
 giving names of the RO/CO and forward the same to the officer In charge of their respective confidential
 records. This exercise will ensure proper follow-up of the pending performance evaluation reports by the
 concerned Government etc.
- Forms should be filled in duplicate. Parts I and II are to be filled by the officer under report and should be typed. Part III will be filled by the Reporting Officer while the Countersigning/Second Countersigning Officers will fill parts IV and V respectively.
- Each Department, autonomous body and office etc. is required to prepare specific job descriptions giving main duties of each job to be mentioned in Part 11(1). The job descriptions may be finalized with the approval of the Head of the Organization or any person authorized by him.
- The officer under report should fill Part II (2) of the form as objectively as possible and short term and long term targets should be determined / assigned with utmost care. The targets for each job may be formulated at the beginning of the year wherever possible. In other cases, the work performed during the year needs to be specifically mentioned.
- Assessment by the Reporting Officers should be job-specific and confined to the work done by the officer
 during the period under report. They should avoid giving a biased or evasive assessment of the officer under
 report as the Countersigning Officers would be required to comment on the quality of the assessment made by
 them
- The Reporting Officers should carryout their assessment in Part III through comments against each characteristic. Their opinions should represent the result of careful consideration and objective assessment so that, if called upon, they could justify the remarks / comments. They may maintain a record of the work done by the subordinates in this regard.
- The Reporting Officers should be careful in giving the overall and comparative grading. Special care should be taken so that no officer is placed at an undue disadvantage.
- The Countersigning Officers should weigh the remarks of the RO against their personal knowledge of the officer under report, compare him with other officers of the same grade working under different Reporting Officers, but under the same Countersigning Officer, and then give their overall assessment of the officer. In case of disagreement with the assessment done by the Reporting Officer, specific reasons should be recorded by the Countersigning Officers in Part IV (2).
- The Countersigning Officers should make an unbiased evaluation of the quality of performance evaluation
 made by the RO by categorizing the reports as exaggerated, fair or biased. This would evoke a greater sense of
 responsibility from the reporting officers.
- The Countersigning Officer should underline, in red ink, remarks which in their opinion are adverse and should be communicated to the officer reported upon. All adverse remarks whether remediable or irremediable should be communicated to the officer under report, with a copy of communication placed in the CR dossier. Reporting Officers should ensure that they properly counsel the officer under report before adverse remarks are recorded.
- The Reporting and Countersigning Officers should be clear, direct objective and unambiguous in their remarks. Vague impressions based on inadequate knowledge or isolated incidents should be avoided.
- Reports should be consistent with the pen picture, overall grading and comparative grading.

IMPORTANT

- Parts I and II of the APER should be duly filled and dispatched to the Reporting Officer not later than the 15th of January. The ROs should forward the report to the Countersigning Officer within two weeks of receipt after giving their views in Part III. The COs should then finalize their comments in Part IV within two weeks of receipt of APER. The Second Countersigning Officers, if any should also complete their assessment within a period of two weeks.
- Name and designation of Reporting/Countersigning Officers should be clearly written. Comments should be legible and in the prescribed format and which can be easily scanned.
- Personnel Number is to be filled in by the officer under report, if allotted.
- Comparative grading only applies to officers falling in very good, good and average categories. This grading would not apply to anyone falling in below average category in Part III (6).

CERTIFICATE

Certified that I			
	(Name of Offi	icer) Personne	l Number (if allotted)
	have or	n	submitted by
(Group / Service)	(BPS)	(Date)	
Performance Evalua	tion Report for the	period	
to			
(Nam	ne / Designation of	f Reporting Officer	•)
My countersigning o			
	(Name /	Designation of Con	intersigning Officer)
		Signatures	
	Design	nation / Department	/ Section
		_	

Note: This certificate is required to be dispatched by the officer being reported upon to the Officer In charge entrusted with the maintenance of his/ her C.R. dossier on the same date the APER is forwarded to his/ her reporting officer.